

Ser. No. 09/931,650

REMARKS

Applicant graciously appreciates the Office's attention to the instant application. A Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR §1.114 is filed herewith.

A telephonic conference was held on Thursday, June 9, 2005 where Applicant's representative and the Examiner discussed the language "first framework" and "second framework" and that these frameworks differed, for example, where the first framework could be a bytecode framework and the second framework could be an intermediate language code framework. Applicant suggested an amendment in an effort to explicitly clarify that the frameworks differ.

In view of the telephonic discussion and the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims of the instant application. This response is believed to be fully responsive to all issues raised in the February 16, 2005 Office Action. Claims 1, 5-8, 10, 12, 16-19 and 24 are currently amended, claims 4, 13 and 14 are canceled; thus, claims 1-3, 5-12 and 15-24 are pending.

Rejection of Claims 1-24 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

In the Office Action mailed February 16, 2004, the Office rejected claims 1-24 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,553,405, to Desrochers.

Applicant currently amends independent claims 1, 7, 8, 12, 19 and 24 to replace "first framework" with "bytecode framework" and to replace "second framework" with "intermediate language code framework" to more clearly indicate that the recited first framework and second framework differ.

In contrast, the Desrochers reference is directed to a single framework, the Java framework and to byte code that resides on two or more machines (not two different frameworks). The Desrochers reference states explicitly that components

Ser. No. 09/931,650

are implemented in Java; there is absolutely no discussion of another, different framework. For example, the Desrochers reference states:

The present invention is used in the context of self-configurable distributed systems where components are implemented in Java. Some Java classes, for example, display handlers, are utilized to present information in a Web browser. The display handlers are not necessarily located in the machine where the Web browser is running. They can be remotely located on another machine or multiple machines since they are running a distributed application. Therefore, the byte code is not located on a single machine.

Desrochers reference at col. 3, lines 36-44 (emphasis added).

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant submits that the Desrochers reference does not anticipate the subject matter of independent claims 1, 7, 8, 12, 19 and 24.

### Conclusion

Pending claims 1-3, 5-12 and 15-24 are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the subject application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the Office is urged to contact the undersigned attorney before issuing a subsequent Action.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 6-16-05

By: 

Brian Pangre  
Reg. No. 42,973  
Lee & Hayes, PLLC  
(509) 324-9256